UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,046	09/15/2006	Manfred Rietzler	SMT-003	7284
42532 PROSKAUER	7590 05/26/201 ROSE LLP		EXAMINER	
	ATIONAL PLACE		WILLIAMS, MARK A	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,046	RIETZLER, MANFRED
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARK A. WILLIAMS	3673
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the cause the application to become ABANDO	FION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.  2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ The 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 8-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1, 2, 8-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific path or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic fority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	

Application/Control Number: 10/593,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The newly amended claim language of claim 1 directed to "connected to the switching circuit to supply energy in a non-contact manner... regardless of whether the external circuit bridge is an open or closed circuit" has not been adequately disclosed in the specifications to enable one or ordinary skill in the art to clear understand the invention, so as to make and/or use the device as intended. Specifically, the language of "to supply energy in a non-contact manner from outside the seal body" lacks enablement and is not fully understood to one skilled in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,046 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1, 2, and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject

matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "connected to the switching circuit to supply energy in a non-

contact manner... regardless of whether the external circuit bridge is an open or

closed circuit" is not fully understood. Specifically, the language of "to supply

energy in a non-contact manner from outside the seal body" lacks enablement and

is not fully understood to one skilled in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments of 3/29/10 have been fully considered, but are not

persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims do not create issues of 112 first and second

paragraphs, as outlined above. The examiner disagrees. In particular, there is no

adequate disclose of structure to the claim language of "to supply energy in a non-

contact manner from outside the seal body". The examiner is of the position that

this lacks enablement and would not be fully understood to one skilled in the art.

Art Unit: 3673

## Conclusion

This action is non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK A. WILLIAMS whose telephone number is (571)272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,046 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

/Mark A. Williams/

Examiner, Art Unit 3673

/Peter M. Cuomo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3673